arXiv:1201.5575v3 [math.AC] 6 Feb 2012

GORENSTEIN HILBERT COEFFICIENTS

Sabine El Khoury

Department of Mathematics, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon. se24@aub.edu.lb

Hema Srinivasan

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA. hema@math.missouri.edu

Abstract. We prove upper and lower bounds for all the coefficients in the Hilbert Polynomial of a graded Gorenstein algebra $S = R/I$ with a quasi-pure resolution over R. The bounds are in terms of the minimal and the maximal shifts in the resolution of R . These bounds are analogous to the bounds for the multiplicity found in [8] and are stronger than the bounds for the Cohen Macaulay algebras found in [6].

1. Introduction

Let $S = \bigoplus S_i$ be a standard graded k-algebra of dimension d, finitely generated in degree one. $H(S,i) = \dim_k S_i$ is the Hilbert function of S. It is well known that $H(S,i)$, for $i >> 0$, is a polynomial $P_S(x)$, called the *the Hilbert polynomial* of S. $P_S(x)$ has degree $d-1$. If we write,

$$P_S(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i e_i \binom{x+d-1-i}{x} = \frac{e_0}{(d-1)!} x^{d-1} + \ldots + (-1)^{d-1} e_{d-1}$$

Then the coefficients e_i are called the *Hilbert coefficients* of S. The first one, e_0 called the multiplicity is the most studied and is denoted by e.

If we write $S = R/I$, where R is the polynomial ring in n variables and I is a homogeneous ideal of R, then all these coefficients can be computed from the shifts in the minimal homogenous R- resolution $\mathbf{F}$ of S given as follows:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=m_s}^{M_s} R(-j)^{\beta_{sj}} \xrightarrow{\delta_s} \ldots \to \bigoplus_{j=m_i}^{M_i} R(-j)^{\beta_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \ldots \to \bigoplus_{j=m_1}^{M_1} R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R \to R/I \to 0$$

Let $h =$ height of I, so that $h \leq s$. In 1995, Herzog and Srinivasan [5] proved that if this resolution is quasi-pure, i.e. if $m_i \geq M_{i-1}$, then

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s} m_i}{s!} \leq e(S) \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s} M_i}{s!}, \text{if } h = s$$

and $e(S) \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{h} M_i}{h!}$ if $h < s$.

Further, Herzog, Huneke and Srinivasan conjectured this to hold for all homogeneous algebras S which came to be known as the multiplicity conjecture.

When S is Gorenstein, Srinivasan established stronger bounds for the multiplicity.

Theorem [Srinivasan [8]] *If S is a homogeneous Gorenstein algebra with quasi-pure resolution of length $s = 2k$ or $2k+1$, then*

$$\frac{m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_s}{s!} \leq e(S) \leq \frac{M_1 \dots M_k m_{k+1} \dots m_s}{s!}.$$

In this paper, we establish bounds for all the remaining Hilbert coefficients of Gorenstein Algebras with quasi-pure resolutions analogous to the above bounds for the multiplicity. We prove in 4.2

Theorem 4.2 *If S is a homogeneous Gorenstein Algebra with quasi-pure resolution of length $s = 2k$ or $2k+1$. Then, for $0 \leq l \leq n-s$,*

$$f_l(m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_s)\tfrac{m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_s}{(s+l)!} \leq e_l(S) \leq f_l(M_1 \dots M_k m_{k+1} \dots m_s)\tfrac{M_1 \dots M_k m_{k+1} \dots m_s}{(s+l)!}$$

with $f_l(a_1, \dots a_s) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots i_l \leq s} \prod_{t=1}^{l} (a_{i_t} - (i_t + t - 1))$ *and* $f_0 = 1$

Boij and Söderberg [1] conjectured that Betti sequences of all graded algebras can be written (uniquely) as sums of positive rational multiples of betti sequences of pure algebras which in turn implied the multiplicity conjecture. In 2008, these conjectures were proved by Eisenbud and Schreyer [3] for C-M modules in characteristic zero and extended to non C-M modules by Boij and Söderberg [1].

Using these results, Herzog and Zheng [6] showed that if S is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension s, then all Hilbert coefficients satisfy

$$\frac{m_1 m_2 \dots m_s}{(s+i)!} h_i(m_1, \dots m_s) \leq e_i(S) \leq \frac{M_1 M_2 \dots M_s}{(s+i)!} h_i(M_1, \dots M_s)$$

with $h_i(d_1, \dots d_s) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots j_i \leq s} \prod_{k=1}^{i} (d_{j_k} - (j_k + k - 1))$ and $h_0(d_1, \dots d_s) = 1$

Our results extend those of Srinivasan [8] as well as the above result [6] to all coefficients of Gorenstein algebras with quasi-pure resolutions.

In section 3 we give an explicit formula of the Hilbert coefficients as a function of the shifts of the minimal resolution of a Gorenstein algebra. These expressions depend on whether the projective dimension is even or odd.

In section 4, we establish the stronger bounds for the higher Hilbert coefficients when the algbera has a quasi-pure resolution.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots x_n]$ be the poynomial ring in n variables, I be a homogeneous ideal contained in $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and $S = R/I$. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be the minimal homogeneous resolution of S over R given by:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_s} R(-d_{sj}) \xrightarrow{\delta_s} \ldots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_i} R(-d_{ij}) \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \ldots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-d_{1j}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R \to R/I \to 0$$

Definition 2.1. A resolution is called quasi-pure if $d_{ij} \geq d_{i-1,l}$ for all j and l, that is, if $m_i \geq M_{i-1}$ for all i.

Suppose S is Gorenstein. Then by duality of the resolution, the resolution of S can be written as follows.

If I is of height $2k+1$ then

$$0 \to R(-c) \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-(c - a_{1j}) \to \ldots \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} R(-(c - a_{kj}))$$
$$\to \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} R(-a_{kj}) \to \ldots \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-a_{1j}) \to R \qquad (1)$$

and if I is of height $2k$ then

$$0 \to R(-c) \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-(c - a_{1j}) \to \ldots \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_k/2=r_k} R(-(c - a_{kj})) \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{b_k/2=r_k} R(-a_{kj})$$
$$\to \ldots \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-a_{1j}) \to R \qquad (2)$$

Remark 2.2. (1) The minimal shifts in the resolution are:

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &= min_j a_{ij} && 1 \leq i \leq k \\ &= c - max_j a_{s-i,j} && k+1 \leq i < s \\ &= c && i = s \end{aligned}$$

The maximal shifts in the resolution are:

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &= max_j a_{ij} && 1 \leq i \leq k \\ &= c - min_j a_{s-i,j} && k+1 \leq i < s \\ &= c && i = s \end{aligned}$$

and $M_s = m_s = c$.

(2) Let $\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij}(c - a_{ij})$ for $i \leq k$ with $p_i = min_j \alpha_{ij} = m_i M_{s-i}$ and $P_i = max_j \alpha_{ij} = M_i m_{s-i}$.

Definition 2.3. Given $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ a sequence of real numbers, we denote the following Vandermonde determinants by

$$V_t = V_t(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & .... & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & ... & \alpha_k \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & ... & \alpha_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{k-2} & \alpha_2^{k-2} & ... & \alpha_k^{k-2} \\ \alpha_1^{k-1+t} & \alpha_2^{k-1+t} & ... & \alpha_k^{k-1+t} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq k} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots \beta_k = t} (\alpha_1^{\beta_1} . \alpha_2^{\beta_2} \dots \alpha_k^{\beta_k})$$

Remark 2.4. $V_t(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \geq 0$ if the sequence is in ascending order.

As a convention, for any non-negative integers n, p, we set the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{p} = 0$ if $n < p$.

The following binomial identities are essential to our theorems. In [8], Srinivasan showed

Lemma 2.5. *For all* $k \geq 0, c, a \geq 1$

$$(c-a)^n - a^n = \sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-1)^t \binom{n-t-1}{t} a^t (c-a)^t (c-2a) c^{n-2t-1}$$

$$(c-a)^n + a^n = \sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-1)^t \binom{n-t}{t} a^t (c-a)^t c^{n-2t} + \sum_{t=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-1)^t \binom{n-t-1}{t-1} a^t (c-a)^t c^{n-2t}$$

The proof goes along the same lines as in [[8], lemmas 2-3].

3. Hilbert Coefficients of Gorenstein Algebras.

Let $R = K[x_1, \dots x_n]$ and I a graded ideal. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the minimal resolution of $S = R/I$,

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_s} R(-d_{sj}) \xrightarrow{\delta_s} \dots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_i} R(-d_{ij}) \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \dots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-d_{1j}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R \to R/I \to 0$$

Theorem 3.1. *(Peskine-Szpiro) Suppose S is C-M then these shifts d_{ij} are known to satisfy* [[9], 1]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s}(-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} -1 & k=0 \\ 0 & 1 \leq k < s \\ (-1)^s s! e & k = s \end{cases}$$

These equations can be thought of as defining the multiplicity, $e = e_0(S)$. In fact, the higher Hilbert Coefficients can also be expressed in terms of the shifts in the resolution[4]. We include a simple proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 3.2.

$$(-1)^s(s+l)!e_l \;=\; \sum_{r=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^{s+r}$$

with $\nu_{l-r} = \sum_{1\leq\xi_1<\xi_2<\ldots<\xi_{l-r}\leq s+l-1} \xi_1.\xi_2.\ldots.\xi_{l-r}$ *and* $\nu_0 = 1$.

Proof. We know that the Hilbert function of R/I is

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} t^{d_{ij}}}{(1-t)^n} = \frac{Q(t)}{(1-t)^d}$$

where $d = dim\ R/I = n - s$ and $\frac{Q^{(i)}(1)}{i!} = e_i$.
We get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} t^{d_{ij}} = Q(t)(1-t)^s \tag{3}$$

We denote these two quantities by $S_{R/I}(t)$. We differentiate both sides $l+s$ times, and evaluate them at $t=1$. We first start by the right hand side

$$S_{R/I}^{(s+l)}(t) = (-1)^s\binom{s+l}{l}s!Q^{(l)}(t) + (1-t)P(t)$$

where $P(t)$ is a polynomial in t. Evaluating at $t=1$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{R/I}^{(s+l)}(1) =& (-1)^s\binom{s+l}{l}s!Q^{(l)}(1) + 0 \\ =& (-1)^s(s+l)!e_l \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $S_{R/I}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} t^{d_{ij}}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(l)}_{R/I}(1) &= \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\binom{d_{ij}}{l}l! \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\prod_{r=0}^{l-1}(d_{ij}-r) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\sum_{r=1}^{l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}d_{ij}^r
\end{aligned}$$

with $\nu_{l-r} = \sum_{1\le\xi_1<\xi_2<\cdots<\xi_{l-r}<l-1}\xi_1\xi_2\ldots\xi_{l-r}$ and $\nu_0 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(s+l)}_{R/I}(1) &= \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\sum_{r=1}^{s+l}(-1)^{s+l-r}\nu_{s+l-r}d_{ij}^r \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{s+l}(-1)^{s+l-r}\nu_{s+l-r}\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}d_{ij}^r
\end{aligned}$$

with $\nu_{s+l-r} = \sum_{1\le\xi_1<\xi_2<\ldots<\xi_{s+l-r}\le s+l-1}\xi_1.\xi_2.\ldots.\xi_{s+l-r}$ and $\nu_0 = 1$.

Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}d_{ij}^r = 0$ when $r < s$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(s+l)}_{R/I}(1) &= \sum_{r=s}^{s+l}(-1)^{s+l-r}\nu_{s+l-r}\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}d_{ij}^r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}d_{ij}^{s+r}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the result. □

Let I be Gorenstein. The minimal free resolution of I is written as in (1) and (2) depending on whether the projective dimension of I is even or odd. In [8], Srinivasan gave a more simplified expression for the multiplicity in both cases. She proved

Theorem 3.3. *(Srinivasan) Let I be Gorenstein of grade $s = 2k+1$ and the minimal graded resolution of $S = R/I$ be as in* (1)*. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^t(c-a_{ij})^t(c-2a_{ij}) &= 0 && \textit{if } 1\le t<k \\
&= (-1)^k(2k+1)!e(S) && \textit{if } t=k \\
&= -c && \textit{if } t=0
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. *(Srinivasan) Let I be Gorenstein of grade $s = 2k$ and the minimal graded resolution of $S = R/I$ be as in* (2). *Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^t (c-a_{ij})^t &= 0 && \text{if } 1 \le t < k\\ &= (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{2} e(S) && \text{if } t = k\\ &= -1 && \text{if } t = 0\end{aligned}$$

We extend these results to all coefficients and we show

Theorem 3.5. *Let I be Gorenstein of grade $s = 2k+1$ and the minimal resolution of $S = R/I$ be as in* (1). *Then $(-1)^k(s+l)!e_l$ is equal to*

$$\sum_{0\le r\le l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{r}{2}]}(-1)^t\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}c^{r-2t}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^{k+t}(c-a_{ij})^{k+t}(c-2a_{ij})$$

Proof. Following the result of Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that $\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^{s+r}$ equals $-\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k+i}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{r}{2}]}(-1)^t\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}c^{r-2t}a_{ij}^{k+t}(c-a_{ij})^{k+t}(c-2a_{ij})$. We have that $\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^{s+r}$

$$\begin{aligned}&= -c^{s+r} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_j} a_{ij}^{s+r}(-1)^i + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_j}(-1)^{2k+1-i}(c-a_{ij})^{s+r}\\ &= -c^{s+r} - \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i[(c-a_{ij})^{s+r} - a_{ij}^{s+r}]\\ &= -c^{s+r} - \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i\sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{s+r}{2}]}(-1)^t\binom{s+r-1-t}{t}a_{ij}^t(c-a_{ij})^t(c-2a_{ij})c^{s+r-1-2t}\end{aligned}$$

by lemma 2.5.

$$= -c^{s+r} - \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i\sum_{t=0}^{k+[\frac{r+1}{2}]}(-1)^t\binom{2k+r-t}{t}a_{ij}^t(c-a_{ij})^t(c-2a_{ij})c^{2k+r-2t}$$

By theorem 3.3 the only remaining terms in the sum are $t = 0$ and $t \geq k$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^{s+r} &= -\sum_{i,j}(-1)^i \sum_{t=k}^{k+[\frac{r+1}{2}]} (-1)^t \binom{2k+r-t}{t} a_{ij}^t (c-a_{ij})^t (c-2a_{ij}) c^{2k+r-2t} \\ &= -\sum_{i,j}(-1)^i \sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{r}{2}]} (-1)^{t+k} \binom{k+r-t}{k+t} a_{ij}^{k+t} (c-a_{ij})^{k+t} (c-2a_{ij}) c^{r-2t}\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.6. $(-1)^k (s+1)! e_1 = [-\nu_1 + \binom{k+1}{k} c] \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} (-1)^i a_{ij}^k (c-a_{ij})^k (c-2a_{ij})$.

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^k (s+2)! e_2 = \left[\nu_2 - \nu_1 \tbinom{k+1}{k} c + \tbinom{k+2}{k} c^2\right] &\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} (-1)^i a_{ij}^k (c-a_{ij})^k (c-2a_{ij}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} (-1)^i a_{ij}^{k+1} (c-a_{ij})^{k+1} (c-2a_{ij}).\end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case when s is even.

Theorem 3.7. *Let I be Gorenstein of grade $s = 2k$ and the minimal resolution of $S = R/I$ be as in (1). Then $(-1)^k (s+l)! e_l$ is equal to*

$$\sum_{\substack{t=0 \\ 0 \leq r \leq l}}^{[\frac{r}{2}]} (-1)^{l-r+t} \nu_{l-r} \left[\binom{k+r-t}{k+t} + \binom{k+r-t-1}{k+t-1}\right] c^{r-2t} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} (-1)^i a_{ij}^{k+t} (c-a_{ij})^{k+t}$$

In the summation j runs from 1 to b_i if $i < k$ and from 1 to $b_k/2$ if $i = k$.

Proof. We proceed the same way as the proof of theorem 3.5. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^{s+r} &= c^{s+r} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b_j} a_{ij}^{s+r} (-1)^i + \sum (-1)^{2k-i} (c-a_{ij})^{s+r} \\ &= c^{s+r} + \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i \left[(c-a_{ij})^{s+r} + a_{ij}^{s+r}\right]. \\ &= c^{2k+r} + \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i \left[\sum_{t=0}^{k+[\frac{r}{2}]} (-1)^t \binom{2k+r-t}{t} a_{ij}^t (c-a_{ij})^t c^{2k+r-2t}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \sum_{t=1}^{k+[\frac{r}{2}]} (-1)^t \binom{2k+r-1-t}{t-1} a_{ij}^t (c-a_{ij})^t c^{2k+r-2t}\right]\end{aligned}$$

by lemma 2.5.

By theorem 3.4 the only remaining terms in the sum are $t = 0$ and $t \geq k$, we obtain that $\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} d_{ij}^{s+r} =$

$$\sum_{i,j}(-1)^i \left[\sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{r}{2}]}(-1)^{t+k}\binom{k+r-t}{t+k} a_{ij}^{t+k}(c-a_{ij})^{t+k}c^{r-2t} + \sum_{t=0}^{[\frac{r}{2}]}(-1)^{t+k}\binom{k+r-1-t}{t+k-1} a_{ij}^{t+k}(c-a_{ij})^{t+k}c^{r-2t}\right].$$

□

Example 3.8. $(-1)^k(s+1)!e_1 = \left[-\nu_1\left(\binom{k}{k}+\binom{k-1}{k-1}\right) + \left(\binom{k+1}{k}+\binom{k}{k-1}\right)c\right].$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^k (c-a_{ij})^k$$

$$(-1)^k(s+2)!e_2 = \left[\nu_2\left(\binom{k}{k}+\binom{k-1}{k-1}\right) - \nu_1\left(\binom{k+1}{k}+\binom{k}{k-1}\right)c + \left(\binom{k+2}{k}+\binom{k+1}{k-1}\right)c^2\right].$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^k (c-a_{ij})^k - \left[\binom{k+1}{k+1}+\binom{k}{k}\right]\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^{k+1}(c-a_{ij})^{k+1}$$

4. Bounds for the coefficients with quasi-pure resolutions.

Definition 4.1. For any ordered s-tuple of positive integers,

$$f_l(y_1,\ldots,y_s) = \sum_{1\leq i_1\leq \ldots i_l \leq s}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{l} y_{i_t} - (i_t + t - 1)\right), 1 \leq l \leq s$$

and $f_0 = 1$.

In this section, we prove

Theorem 4.2. *If S is a homogeneous Gorenstein Algebra with quasi-pure resolution of length $s = 2k$ or $2k+1$. Then*

$$f_l(m_1 \ldots m_k M_{k+1} \ldots M_s)\frac{m_1 \ldots m_k M_{k+1} \ldots M_s}{(s+l)!} \leq e_l(S) \leq f_l(M_1 \ldots M_k m_{k+1} \ldots m_s)\frac{M_1 \ldots M_k m_{k+1} \ldots m_s}{(s+l)!}$$

Remark 4.3.
1. $M_n = m_n = c$.
2. These bounds are strictly stronger than the bounds in the conjecture found by Herzog and Zheng in [6].

Let $S = R/I$. Then S has a $R-$ free resolution of length $s = 2k+1$ or $s = 2k$. The first half of the resolution is given below.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b_k} R(-a_{kj}) \to \ldots \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-a_{1j}) \to R, \qquad s = 2k+1 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b_k/2=r_k} R(-(c-a_{kj})) \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{b_k/2=r_k} R(-a_{kj}) \to \ldots \to \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} R(-a_{1j}) \to R, \qquad s = 2k \tag{5}$$

Thus, we let $r_i = b_i, i \neq k$ and $r_k = b_k$ if s is odd and $r_k = \frac{b_k}{2}$ if s is even.

Without loss of generality we may take $a_{i1} \leq a_{i2} \leq \ldots a_{ib_i}$ for all i.
If $s = 2k$, we pick a_{kj} so that $c - a_{kr_k} \geq a_{kr_k}$. The symmetry of the resolution and the exactness criterion forces b_k to be even. Srinivasan showed in [[8], 5], that

Lemma 4.4. *If S is Gorenstein with a quasi-pure resolution then $c \geq 2a_{ij}$ for all i, j.*

Proof. Since quasi-purity means the a_{ij} increase with i, we just need to check $c \geq 2a_{kr_k}$. If $s = 2k+1$ then $c - a_{kr_k} = m_{k+1} \geq M_k = a_{kr_k}$. So $c \geq 2a_{kr_k}$. If $s = 2k$, then $c \geq 2a_{kr_k}$ by choice and hence the result. ☐

To be able to prove theorem 4.2 we need to consider two different determinants depending on whether s is even or odd.
Suppose s is odd. Let

$$M_t = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} \alpha_{1j}(c-2a_{1j}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} \alpha_{ij}(c-2a_{ij}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} \alpha_{kj}(c-2a_{kj}) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} \alpha_{1j}^2(c-2a_{1j}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} \alpha_{ij}^2(c-2a_{ij}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} \alpha_{kj}^2(c-2a_{kj}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} \alpha_{1j}^{k-1}(c-2a_{1j}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} \alpha_{ij}^{k-1}(c-2a_{ij}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} \alpha_{kj}^{k-1}(c-2a_{kj}) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b_1} \alpha_{1j}^{k+t}(c-2a_{1j}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} \alpha_{ij}^{k+t}(c-2a_{ij}) \ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} \alpha_{kj}^{k+t}(c-2a_{kj}) \end{vmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij}(c - a_{ij})$. Then, $M_t = \sum\limits_{1 \leq j_i \leq b_i} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i}(c - 2a_{ij_i}).V_t(\alpha_{1j_1}, \ldots \alpha_{kj_k})$.

Now consider

$$\sum_{r=0}^{l} (-1)^{l-r} \nu_{l-r} \sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^t \binom{k+r-t}{k+t} c^{r-2t} M_t$$

It is equal to

$$\sum_{1\le j_i\le b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}(c-2a_{ij_i})V(\alpha_{1j_1}\dots\alpha_{kj_k}).$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]}(-1)^t\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}c^{r-2t}\sum_{\sum\beta_i=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}$$

We thank László Székely for his help with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *We have for all* $c, a_i > 0$ *and* $\alpha_i = a_i(c-a_i)$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]}(-1)^t\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}c^{r-2t}\sum_{\sum\beta_i=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i^{\beta_i} = \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k}a_i^{\beta_i}(c-a_i)^{\beta_{k+i}}c^{\beta_{2k+1}}$$

Proof. $\displaystyle\sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k}a_i^{\beta_i}(c-a_i)^{\beta_{k+i}}c^{\beta_{2k+1}}$ is the coefficient of x^r in

$$\frac{1}{1-cx}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\frac{1}{1-a_ix}\frac{1}{1-(c-a_i)x} = \frac{1}{1-cx}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\frac{1}{1-(cx-a_i(c-a_i)x^2)}$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_{k+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(cx-a_i(c-a_i)x^2\right)^{\gamma_i}(cx)^{\gamma_{k+1}}$$

the coefficient of x^r is in this last expression is:

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(2\beta_i+\gamma_i-\beta_i)+\gamma_{k+1}=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}c^{\gamma_i-\beta_i}(-1)^{\beta_i}\left(a_i(c-a_i)\right)^{\beta_i}c^{\gamma_{k+1}} =$$

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\beta_i+\gamma_i)+\gamma_{k+1}=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}c^{\gamma_i-\beta_i}(-1)^{\beta_i}\left(a_i(c-a_i)\right)^{\beta_i}c^{\gamma_{k+1}} =$$

$$\sum_{t\ge0}c^{r-2t}(-1)^t\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\gamma_i=r-t}\;\sum_{\beta_1+\dots\beta_k=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}(a_i(c-a_i))^{\beta_i}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i} =$$

$$\sum_{t\ge0}c^{r-2t}(-1)^t\sum_{\beta_1+\dots\beta_k=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}(a_i(c-a_i))^{\beta_i}\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\gamma_i=r-t}\;\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}.$$

It remains to show that, $\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\gamma_i=r-t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}=\binom{r-t+k}{t+k}$. This can be proved by induction on n,t,k. Alternatively, consider the negative binomial theorem

$$(1-x)^{-(\beta_i+1)}=\sum_n\binom{n+\beta_i}{\beta_i}x^n.$$

$$x^{\beta_i}(1-x)^{-(\beta_i+1)}=\sum_n\binom{n+\beta_i}{\beta_i}x^{n+\beta_i}=\sum_{\gamma_i}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}x^{\gamma_i},$$

so that $\sum_{\gamma_1+\ldots\gamma_{k+1}=r-t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}$ is the coefficient of $x^{r-t-\gamma_{k+1}}$ in

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k}\frac{x^{\beta_i}}{(1-x)^{\beta_i+1}}=\frac{x^t}{(1-x)^{t+k}}.$$

This in turn, is equal to the coefficient of $x^{r-2t-\gamma_{k+1}}$ in $\frac{1}{(1-x)^{t+k}}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma_1+\ldots\gamma_{k+1}=r-t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{\gamma_i}{\beta_i}&=\sum_{\gamma_{k+1}=0}^{r-t}\binom{(r-\gamma_{k+1}-2t)+(t+k-1)}{t+k-1}\\&=\sum_{\gamma_{k+1}=0}^{r-t}\binom{(r-t+k-1-\gamma_{k+1}}{t+k-1}\\&=\binom{r-t+k}{t+k}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, when s is even we consider the following determinant:

$$N_t=\begin{vmatrix}\sum_{j=1}^{r_1}\alpha_{1j}\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_i}\alpha_{ij}\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\alpha_{kj}\\ \sum_{j=1}^{r_1}\alpha_{1j}^2\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_i}\alpha_{ij}^2\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\alpha_{kj}^2\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ \sum_{j=1}^{r_1}\alpha_{1j}^{k-1}\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_i}\alpha_{ij}^{k-1}\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\alpha_{kj}^{k-1}\\ \sum_{j=1}^{r_1}\alpha_{1j}^{k+t}\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_i}\alpha_{ij}^{k+t}\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\alpha_{kj}^{k+t}\end{vmatrix}$$

with $\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij}(c - a_{ij})$, $r_i = b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots k-1$ and $r_k = b_k/2$. Then,

$$N_t = \sum_{1 \le j_i \le b_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V_t(\alpha_{1j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{kj_k}).$$

Now consider

$$\sum_{0 \le r \le l} (-1)^{l-r} \nu_{l-r} \sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^t \left[\binom{k+r-t}{k+t} + \binom{k+r-t-1}{k+t-1} \right] c^{r-2t} N_t$$

It is equal to

$$\sum_{j_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V(\alpha_{1j_1} \dots \alpha_{kj_k}).$$

$$\sum_{0 \le r \le l} (-1)^{l-r} \nu_{l-r} \sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^t \left[\binom{k+r-t}{k+t} + \binom{k+r-t-1}{k+t-1} \right] c^{r-2t} \sum_{\sum \beta_i = t} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}$$

The following is the version of lemma 4.5 for the case where s is even.

Lemma 4.6. *For all $c, a_i > 0$ and $\alpha_i = a_i(c - a_i)$, we have*

$$\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^t \left[\binom{k+r-t}{k+t} + \binom{k+r-t-1}{k+t-1} \right] c^{r-2t} \sum_{\sum \beta_i = t} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i^{\beta_i} =$$

$$\sum_{\beta_1 + \dots \beta_s = r} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i^{\beta_i} (c - a_i)^{\beta_{k+i}} c^{\beta_{2k}} (a_k^{\beta_k} + (c - a_k)^{\beta_k}).$$

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as the odd case.

$\sum_{\beta_1 + \dots \beta_s = r} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i^{\beta_i} (c - a_i)^{\beta_{k+i}} c^{\beta_{2k}} \left(a_k^{\beta_k} + (c - a_k)^{\beta_k} \right)$ is the coefficient of x^r in

$$\frac{1}{1 - cx} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1 - a_i x} \frac{1}{1 - (c - a_i)x} \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_k x} + \frac{1}{1 - (c - a_k)x} \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - cx} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 - a_i x} \frac{1}{1 - (c - a_i)x} \left[1 - (c - a_k)x + 1 - a_k x \right] =$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - cx} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 - a_i x} \frac{1}{1 - (c - a_i)x} \left[2 - cx \right] =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 - a_i x} \frac{1}{1 - (c - a_i)x} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 - cx} \right].$$

By an argument similar to the proof of lemma 4.5 applied to the two sums separately, we see that this is the coefficient of x^r in

$$\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]}(-1)^t\binom{k-1+r-t}{k-1+t}c^{r-2t}\sum_{\sum\beta_i=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i^{\beta_i}+\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]}(-1)^t\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}c^{r-2t}\sum_{\sum\beta_i=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i^{\beta_i}$$

$$=\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]}(-1)^t\left[\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}+\binom{k+r-t-1}{k+t-1}\right]c^{r-2t}\sum_{\sum\beta_i=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i^{\beta_i}.$$

$\square$

Now in both cases whether s is even or odd we have

Lemma 4.7. $\sum_{0\le r\le l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k}a_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}(c-a_{ij_i})^{\beta_{k+i}}c^{\beta_{2k+1}}$ *and* $\sum_{0\le r\le l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{\beta_1+\ldots\beta_s=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}a_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}(c-a_{ij_i})^{\beta_{k+i}}c^{\beta_{2k}}(a_{kj_k}^{\beta_k}+(c-a_{kj_k})^{\beta_k})$ *are both equal to* $\sum_{1\le i_1\le\ldots i_l\le s}(\prod_{t=1}^{l}d_{i_tj_{i_t}}-(i_t+t-1))$.

Proof. For any given $r-$tuples $1\le\alpha_1\le\ldots\le\alpha_r\le s$ with $0\le r\le l$ we will have $1\le\beta_1\le\ldots\le\beta_{l-r}\le s$ such that $\{\alpha_1,\ldots\alpha_r\}\cup\{\beta_1,\ldots\beta_{l-r}\}$ is equal to $\{i_1,\ldots i_l\}$. In the product $\sum_{1\le i_1\le\ldots i_l\le s}(\prod_{t=1}^{l}d_{i_tj_{i_t}}-(i_t+t-1))$, the coefficient of $\prod_{1\le\alpha_1\le\ldots\le\alpha_r\le s}d_{\alpha_tj_{\alpha_t}}$ is $\sum_r(-1)^{l-r}\prod_{1\le\beta_1<\ldots<\beta_{l-r}\le s+l-1}\beta_1\ldots\beta_{l-r}=\sum_r(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}$, since i_t+t-1 is strictly increasing until i_l+l-1 . Further, if s is odd $d_{ij}=a_{ij},i\le k$ and $d_{ij}=c-a_{(2k+1-i),j},i>k$ and if s is even $d_{ij}=a_{ij},i<k$; $d_{ij}=c-a_{(2k-i),j},i>k$ and $d_{kj}=a_{kj}$ or $c-a_{kj}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{1\le i_1\le\ldots i_l\le s}(\prod_{t=1}^{l}d_{i_tj_{i_t}}-(i_t+t-1))=$$

$$=\sum_{0\le r\le l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k}a_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}(c-a_{ij_i})^{\beta_{k+i}}c^{\beta_{2k+1}}\qquad,s=2k+1.$$

$$=\sum_{0\le r\le l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{\beta_1+\ldots\beta_s=r}\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}a_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}(c-a_{ij_i})^{\beta_{k+i}}c^{\beta_{2k}}(a_{kj_k}^{\beta_k}+(c-a_{kj_k})^{\beta_k})\qquad,s=2k$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.8. $\sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \ldots i_l \le s} (\prod_{t=1}^{l} d_{i_t j_{i_t}} - (i_t + t - 1)) = f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots, c)$, when $s = 2k+1$ is odd. However, when $s = 2k$, d_{kj} can equal either a_{kj} or $(c - a_{kj})$ and hence

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \ldots i_l \le s} (\prod_{t=1}^{l} d_{i_t j_{i_t}} - (i_t + t - 1)) = f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots a_{kj_k}, \ldots c) + f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots, c - a_{kj_k}, \ldots c).$$

Thus, when $s = 2k+1$,

$$\sum_{0 \le r \le l} (-1)^{l-r} \nu_{l-r} \sum_{\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_{2k+1} = r} \prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{ij_i}^{\beta_i} (c - a_{ij_i})^{\beta_{k+i}} c^{\beta_{2k+1}} = f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots, c)$$

and when $s = 2k$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{l} \sum_{\beta_1 + \ldots \beta_s = r} (-1)^{l-r} \nu_{l-r} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{ij_i}^{\beta_i} (c - a_{ij_i})^{\beta_{k+i}} c^{\beta_{2k}} (a_{kj_k}^{\beta_k} + (c - a_{kj_k})^{\beta_k}) =$$
$$f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots a_{kj_k}, \ldots c) + f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots, c - a_{kj_k}, \ldots c).$$

Remark 4.9. Suppose R/I has a quasi-pure resolution. Since the Hilbert function of R/I is unaltered by any cancellations, we may assume $d_{ij} > d_{i-1j}$ for all i. Since $d_{ij} \ge i$ for all i, we get $d_{ij} - i \ge d_{i-1}j - (i-1)$ and hence $d_{p_j} - p \ge d_{q_j} - q$ for all $p \ge q$. Now assume that not all factors in $\prod_{t=1}^{l} (d_{i_t j_{i_t}} - (i_t + t - 1))$ are positive and let p be the smallest integer with $d_{i_p j_{i_p}} - (i_p + p - 1) < 0$. Then $p > 1$ and $d_{i_{p-1} j_{i_{p-1}}} - (i_{p-1} + p - 2) > 0$. It follows that

$$d_{i_{p-1} j_{i_{p-1}}} - (i_{p-1} + p - 2) - d_{i_p j_{i_p}} - (i_p + p - 1) \ge 2$$

or equivalently

$$i_p - i_{p-1} \ge d_{i_p j_{i_p}} - d_{i_{p-1}} j_{i_{p-1}} + 1$$
$$d_{i_{p-1}} j_{i_{p-1}} - i_{p-1} \ge d_{i_p j_{i_p}} - i_p + 1 > d_{i_p j_{i_p}} - i_p$$

which is a contradiction, and we get that $\prod_{t=1}^{l} (d_{i_t j_{i_t}} - (i_t + t - 1)) \ge 0$.

Thus, $f_l(d_{1j_1}, \ldots, d_{sj_s}) \ge 0$, for all s-tuples $(d_{1j_1}, \ldots, d_{sj_s})$, provided the resolution is quasi-pure.

Proof. of theorem 4.2. We have $\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij}(c - a_{ij})$ and $\alpha_{ij} - \alpha'_{ij} = (a_{ij} - a'_{ij'})(c - a_{ij} - a'_{ij'})$. By the quasi-purity of the resolution of S and lemma 4.4, $c \ge 2a_{ij}$ for all i, j. So $a_{i1} \le a_{i2} \le \ldots \le a_{ib_i}$ implies that $\alpha_{i1} \le \alpha_{i2} \le \ldots \le \alpha_{ib_i}$.
We also have for all $1 \le i \le k$, $p_i = \min_j \alpha_{ij} = m_i M_{s-i}$ and $P_i = \max_j \alpha_{ij} = M_i m_{s-i}$.

We treat the even and odd cases separately.
Case 1. $s = 2k+1$ is odd. The resolution starts as in (4) and by lemmas 4.5, 4.7 and

remark 4.8 we have that

$$\sum_{1\le j_i\le b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}(c-2a_{ij_i})V(\alpha_{1j_1},\ldots\alpha_{kj_k}).$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-r}\nu_{l-r}\sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]}(-1)^t\binom{k+r-t}{k+t}c^{r-2t}\sum_{\sum\beta_i=t}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}=$$

$$\sum_{1\le j_i\le b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}(c-2a_{ij_i}).V(\alpha_{1j_1}\ldots\alpha_{kj_k})f_l(a_{1j_1},\ldots,c)$$

By remark 4.9, $f_l(d_{1j_1},\ldots d_{sj_s})\ge 0$. Further, $c\ge 2a_{ij_i}$ by lemma 4.4 and $V(\alpha_{1j_1},\ldots,\alpha_{kj_k})\ge 0$, for $\alpha_{1j_1}\le\ldots\le\alpha_{kj_k}$. So

$$\sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}p_i(c-2a_{ij_i})V(\alpha_{1j_1},\ldots,\alpha_{kj_k})f_l\left(m_1,\ldots m_k,M_{k+1},\ldots M_s\right)\le$$

$$\sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}(c-2a_{ij_i}).V(\alpha_{1j_1}\ldots\alpha_{kj_k})f_l(a_{1j_1},\ldots,c)$$

$$\le\sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}P_i(c-2a_{ij_i})V(\alpha_{1j_1},\ldots,\alpha_{kj_k})f_l\left(M_1,\ldots M_k,m_{k+1},\ldots m_s\right)$$

which is the same as

$$f_l\left(m_1,\ldots m_k,M_{k+1},\ldots M_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k}p_i det(Q)\le$$

$$\sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}(c-2a_{ij_i}).V(\alpha_{1j_1}\ldots\alpha_{kj_k})f_l(a_{1j_1},\ldots,c)$$

$$\le f_l\left(M_1,\ldots M_k,m_{k+1},\ldots m_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k}P_i det(Q)$$

where

$$Q=\begin{pmatrix}\sum_{j=1}^{b_1}(c-2a_{1j})\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(c-2a_{ij})\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k}(c-2a_{kj})\\ \sum_{j=1}^{b_1}\alpha_{1j}(c-2a_{1j})\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\alpha_{ij}(c-2a_{ij})\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k}\alpha_{kj}(c-2a_{kj})\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ \sum_{j=1}^{b_1}\alpha_{1j}^{k-1}(c-2a_{1j})\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_i}\alpha_{ij}^{k-1}(c-2a_{ij})\ldots & \sum_{j=1}^{b_k}\alpha_{kj}^{k-1}(c-2a_{kj})\end{pmatrix}$$

$detQ > 0$ since at least one of the $V(\alpha_{1j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{kj_k}) > 0$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{k}(c - 2a_{ij_i}) > 0$. Replacing the last column by the alternating sums of columns in Q and using theorems 3.3 and 3.7, we get

$$detQ = det \begin{pmatrix} \dots & -c \\ L & 0 \end{pmatrix} = c.detL$$

So

$$f_l\left(m_1, \dots m_k, M_{k+1}, \dots M_s\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i c det(L) \leq$$

$$\sum_{j_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i}(c - 2a_{ij_i}).V(\alpha_{1j_1} \dots \alpha_{kj_k}) f_l(a_{1j_1}, \dots, c)$$

$$\leq f_l\left(M_1, \dots M_k, m_{k+1}, \dots m_s\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i c det(L)$$

On the other hand, we start with M_t again. Replacing the last column of M_t by alternating sums of the columns and using theorem 3.5 we get

$$M_t = \begin{vmatrix} L & 0 \\ \dots & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} (-1)^i a_{ij}^{k+t} (c - a_{ij})^{k+t} (c - 2a_{ij}) \end{vmatrix}$$

So $\sum_{j_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i}(c-2a_{ij_i}).V(\alpha_{1j_1} \dots \alpha_{kj_k}) f_l(d_{1j_1}, \dots, d_{sj_s}) = (s+l)! e_l detL$ and hence the result.

Case 2. $s = 2k$ is even. Now the resolution starts as in (5) and by lemmas 4.6, 4.7 and remark 4.8 we obtain

$$\sum_{j_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V(\alpha_{1j_1} \dots \alpha_{kj_k}).$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq r \leq l} (-1)^{l-r} \nu_{l-r} \sum_{t=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^t \left[\binom{k+r-t}{k+t} + \binom{k+r-t-1}{k+t-1} \right] c^{r-2t} \sum_{\sum \beta_i = t} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i}^{\beta_i} =$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq b_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V(\alpha_{1j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{kj_k}) \left(f_l(a_{1j_1}, \dots a_{kj_k}, \dots, c) + f_l(a_{1j_1}, \dots c - a_{kj_k}, \dots, c) \right)$$

Since $f_l(d_{1j_1},\dots d_{sj_s}) \geq 0$ and $V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k}) \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k})(f_l\left(m_1,\dots m_k, M_{k+1},\dots M_s\right) + f_l(m_1,\dots m_{k-1}, M_k,\dots M_s))$$

$$\leq \sum_{1\leq j_i\leq b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k})\left(f_l(a_{1j_1},\dots a_{kj_k},\dots,c) + f_l(a_{1j_1},\dots c - a_{kj_k},\dots,c)\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k})(f_l\left(M_1,\dots M_k, m_{k+1},\dots m_s\right) + f_l(M_1,\dots M_{k-1}, m_k,\dots m_s))$$

But,

$$f_l\left(m_1,\dots m_k, M_{k+1},\dots M_s\right) \leq f_l(m_1,\dots m_{k-1}, M_k,\dots M_s)$$

and

$$f_l(M_1,\dots M_{k-1}, m_k,\dots m_s) \leq f_l\left(M_1,\dots M_k, m_{k+1},\dots m_s\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k}).2 f_l\left(m_1,\dots m_k, M_{k+1},\dots M_s\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{1\leq j_i\leq b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k})\left(f_l(a_{1j_1},\dots a_{kj_k},\dots,c) + f_l(a_{1j_1},\dots c - a_{kj_k},\dots,c)\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k}) 2. f_l\left(M_1,\dots M_k, m_{k+1},\dots m_s\right)$$

which is the same as

$$2 f_l\left(m_1,\dots m_k, M_{k+1},\dots M_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i det(Q') \leq$$

$$\sum_{1\leq j_i\leq b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ij_i} V(\alpha_{1j_1},\dots,\alpha_{kj_k})\left(f_l(a_{1j_1},\dots a_{kj_k},\dots,c) + f_l(a_{1j_1},\dots c - a_{kj_k},\dots,c)\right)$$

$$\leq 2 f_l\left(M_1,\dots M_k, m_{k+1},\dots m_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i det(Q')$$

where

$$Q' = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \dots & r_i \dots & r_k \\ \sum_{j=1}^{r_1}\alpha_{1j}\dots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_i}\alpha_{ij}\dots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\alpha_{kj} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^{r_1}\alpha_{1j}^{k-1}\dots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_i}\alpha_{ij}^{k-1}\dots & \sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\alpha_{kj}^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$detQ' > 0$ since at least on of the $V(\alpha_{1j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{kj_k}) > 0$. Replacing the last column by the alternating sums of columns and using theorems 3.4 and 3.7, we get

$$detQ' = det\begin{pmatrix} \ldots & -1 \\ L' & 0 \end{pmatrix} = detL'$$

Then

$$2f_l\left(m_1, \ldots m_k, M_{k+1}, \ldots M_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i det(L') \leq$$

$$\sum_{1\leq j_i\leq b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}V(\alpha_{1j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{kj_k})\left(f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots a_{kj_k}, \ldots, c) + f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots c - a_{kj_k}, \ldots, c)\right)$$

$$\leq 2f_l\left(M_1, \ldots M_k, m_{k+1}, \ldots m_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i det(L')$$

On the other hand, we start with N_t again. Replacing the last column of N_t by alternating sums of the columns and using theorem 3.7, we get:

$$N_t = \begin{vmatrix} L' & 0 \\ \ldots & \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{b_i}(-1)^i a_{ij}^{k+t}(c - a_{ij})^{k+t} \end{vmatrix}$$

So $(s + l)!e_l detL' =$

$$\sum_{1\leq j_i\leq b_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_{ij_i}V(\alpha_{1j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{kj_k})\left(f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots a_{kj_k}, \ldots, c) + f_l(a_{1j_1}, \ldots c - a_{kj_k}, \ldots, c)\right).$$

We get,

$$2f_l\left(m_1, \ldots m_k, M_{k+1}, \ldots M_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i \leq (s + l)!e_l$$

$$\leq 2f_l\left(M_1, \ldots M_k, m_{k+1}, \ldots m_s\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i$$

$$2f_l\left(m_1, \ldots m_k, M_{k+1}, \ldots M_s\right) m_1 \ldots m_k M_k M_{k+1} \ldots M_{2k-1}, \leq (s + l)!e_l$$

$$\leq 2f_l\left(M_1, \ldots M_k, m_{k+1}, \ldots m_s\right) M_1 \ldots M_k m_k m_{k+1} \ldots m_{2k-1}$$

$$f_l\left(m_1, \ldots m_k, M_{k+1}, \ldots M_s\right) m_1 \ldots m_k M_{k+1} \ldots M_{2k-1}(2M_k), \leq (s + l)!e_l$$

$$\leq f_l\left(M_1, \dots M_k, m_{k+1}, \dots m_s\right) M_1 \dots M_k m_{k+1} \dots m_{2k-1}(2m_k)$$

Now $M_k = c - a_{k1}$ and $m_k = a_{k1}$.
Clearly $c \geq 2a_{k1} = 2m_k$. Also $2(c - a_{k1}) = c + (c - 2a_{k1}) \geq c$.
So $M_{2k} = c \leq 2M_k$ and $2m_k \leq c = m_{2k}$ and hence

$$f_l\left(m_1, \dots m_k, M_{k+1}, \dots M_s\right) m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_{2k-1} M_{2k}, \leq (s+l)! e_l$$

$$\leq f_l\left(M_1, \dots M_k, m_{k+1}, \dots m_s\right) M_1 \dots M_k m_{k+1} \dots m_{2k-1} m_{2k}$$

This completes the proof. □

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $S = R/I$ where I is a homogeneous ideal of height s.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $S = R/I$ be as above. Suppose the betti diagram of S is symmetric, that is $\beta_{ij} = \beta_{s-i,c-j}, 0 \leq i \leq s$ and $\beta_{sj} = 0, j \neq c$. Then the Hilbert coefficients will satisfy the same bounds as in theorem 4.2*

Corollary 4.11. *Let $S = R/I$ be as above. Suppose the betti diagram of S is a positive rational linear combinations of quasi-pure Gorenstein (symmetric) betti diagrams. Then the Hilbert Coefficients of S satisfy the same bounds as in theorem 4.2.*

References

[1] M. Boij and J. Söderberg. *Graded Betti Numbers of Cohen-Macaulay Modules and the Multiplicity conjecture*, math. AC/0611081, (2) **78**, (2008), (1), 85-106

[2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings,* Cambridge University Press, (1993), 147-205

[3] D. Eisenbud and F. Schreyer. *Betti numbers of graded modules and cohomology of vector bundles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **22**, (2009), 859-888.

[4] S. El Khoury. *Upper Bounds for the Hilbert Coefficients of almost Cohen-Macaulay Algebras,* Int. J. Algebra, Vol. 5, (2011), no. **14**, 679 - 690

[5] J. Herzog and H. Srinivasan. *Bounds for multiplicities*, Transactions of the AMS 350 nbr **7**, (1998), 2879-2902.

[6] J. Herzog and X. Zheng. *Bounds for Hilbert Coefficients*, Proceedings of the AMS, 37 nbr **2**, (2009), 487-494.

[7] C. Huneke and M.MIller. *A note on the multiplicity of Cohen-Macaulay algebras with pure resolutions*, Canad.J. Math., 37, (1985), 1149-1162.

[8] H. Srinivasan. *A note on the Multiplicities of Gorenstein Algebras*, J. Algebra **208**, (1998), 425-443.

[9] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro. *Syzygies and multiplicities*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. A **278**, (1974), 1421-1424.